by Maria Conceição M. Torres<sup>a</sup>), Raimundo Braz-Filho<sup>a</sup>), Edilberto R. Silveira<sup>a</sup>), Jaécio Carlos Diniz<sup>b</sup>), Francisco Arnaldo Viana<sup>b</sup>), and Otília Deusdênia L. Pessoa<sup>\*a</sup>)

 <sup>a</sup>) Departamento de Química Orgânica e Inorgânica, Universidade Federal do Ceará, 12.200, Fortaleza-CE, 60.021-970, Brazil (phone: +85-21-33669441; fax: +85-21-33669782; e-mail: opessoa@ufc.br)
<sup>b</sup>) Departamento de Química, Universidade do Estado do Rio Grande do Norte, Mossoró-RN, Brazil

Two new terpenoids, the bisnorditerpene  $rel-(5\beta,8\alpha,10\alpha)$ -8-hydroxy-13-methylpodocarpa-9(11),13diene-3,12-dione (1) and the guaiane sesquiterpene rel-(1R,4S,6R,7S,8aR)-decahydro-1-(hydroxymethyl)-4,9,9-trimethyl-4,7-(epoxymethano)azulen-6-ol (2), together with seven known compounds, were isolated from *Croton regelianus* var. *matosii*. The structures of the isolated compounds were determined by HR-ESI-TOF and a combination of 1D- and 2D-NMR experiments.

**Introduction.** – The genus *Croton* (Euphorbiaceae), represented by trees, shrubs, and herbs which are in general odoriferous, comprises *ca.* 1,300 species disperse in tropical and subtropical regions of the world [1]. 'Caatinga', the main biome of the Northeast region of Brazil, represents 18.3% of the Brazilian national territory. The 'caatinga' is an exclusive Brazilian biome and its vegetation consists of a wide variety of semi-desert and dry deciduous forest formations, ranging from savanna dominated by cacti to thorn scrub and gallery forest with trees up to 15 m high. This region possesses a large diversity of *Croton* species, many of which are used in traditional medicine [2–5], including *Croton regelianus* var. *matosii*, popularly known as 'velame de cheiro'. The leaf infusion of this plant is used to treat rheumatism, malignant tumors, and stomachache [6][7]. In a previous work, the chemical composition of the leaf essential oil of *C. regelianus* was reported, as well as the evaluation of its biological properties, such as larvicidal and nematicidal properties [8]. More recently, some of us investigated the *in vitro* and *in vivo* antitumor properties of the essential oil, including ascaridole, the main component of the oil [9].

In the present article, we describe the structural characterization of two new terpenoids, a bisnorditerpene, 1, and a guaiane sesquiterpene, 2, including the acetylated derivative of 2(2a).

**Results and Discussion.** – The phytochemical investigation of the extracts of *C. regelianus* afforded the known compounds triacontanol [10], phytol [11],  $\beta$ -sitosterol [12], (3R,4R,6S)-3,6-dihydroxymenth-1-ene [13],  $\beta$ -sitosterol glycoside [12],  $6\alpha$ -hydroxycampest-4-en-3-one,  $6\beta$ -hydroxycampest-4-en-3-one [14], and cleomiscosine A [15], as well as two new terpenoids, the bisnorditerpene *rel-*( $5\beta,8\alpha,10\alpha$ )-8-hydroxy-13-methylpodocarpa-9(1),13-diene-3,12-dione (1), and the sesquiterpene *rel-*

<sup>© 2010</sup> Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

(1*R*,4*S*,6*R*,7*S*,8a*R*)-decahydro-1-(hydroxymethyl)-4,9,9-trimethyl-(epoxymethano)azu-len-6-ol (**2**).



Compound **1** was isolated as a yellowish resin. The IR spectrum exhibited a broad band at 3412 cm<sup>-1</sup> typical for OH groups, bands at 1727 and 1710 cm<sup>-1</sup> for CO groups, and 1638 cm<sup>-1</sup> for C=C bonds. The molecular formula  $C_{18}H_{25}O_3$  was established by HR-ESI-TOF from the *quasi*-molecular ion peak at *m*/*z* 289.1798 ([*M*+H]<sup>+</sup>, calc. 289.1803), and supported by the NMR data (*Table 1*). The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum showed signals for four Me groups at  $\delta$ (H) 1.12 (*s*, Me(18)), 1.16 (*s*, Me(19)), 1.59 (*s*, Me(20)), and 1.88 (br. *s*, Me(17)), two olefinic H-atom signals at  $\delta$ (H) 6.04 (*s*, H–C(11)) and 6.53 (br. *s*, H–C(14)), and a set of signals in the range of  $\delta$ (H) 1.43 to 2.83 due to CH<sub>2</sub> and CH H-atoms. The <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DEPT-135 and APT) spectra exhibited signals for seven non-hydrogenated C-atoms, including two CO groups at  $\delta$ (C) 215.4 (C(3)) and 189.2 (C(12)) for a non-conjugated and a conjugated ketone, respectively; two sp<sup>2</sup> Catoms at  $\delta$ (C) 167.5 (C(9)) and 133.1 (C(13)) and a sp<sup>3</sup> O-bearing C-atom at  $\delta$ (C) 69.2

	$\delta(C)$	$\delta(\mathrm{H})$	HMBC $(H \rightarrow C)$
CH <sub>2</sub> (1)	32.3	1.90-192(m), 2.08-2.06(m)	
$CH_2(2)$	34.4	2.51-253(m), 2.81-283(m)	C(3)
C(3)	215.4	_	
C(4)	48.2	_	
H-C(5)	54.3	1.42 - 1.44 (m)	C(6)
$CH_{2}(6)$	18.6	1.66 - 1.67 (m), 2.15 - 2.18 (m)	C(5)
$CH_{2}(7)$	39.1	1.44 - 1.46 (m), 2.13 - 2.15 (m)	C(6)
C(8)	69.2	_	
C(9)	167.5	_	
C(10)	40.8	_	
H - C(11)	122.6	6.04 (s)	C(8), C(9), C(13)
C(12)	189.2	_	
C(13)	133.1	_	
H - C(14)	148.2	6.53 (br. <i>s</i> )	C(8), C(12), C(17)
Me(17)	15.1	1.88 (br. s)	C(17)
Me(18)	26.2	1.12(s)	C(3), C(4) C(5)
Me(19)	22.2	1.16 (s)	C(3), C(4), C(5)
Me(20)	19.7	1.59 (s)	C(1), C(5), C(10)

Table 1. <sup>13</sup>C- and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR Data of Compound **1**<sup>a</sup>)

<sup>a</sup>) Measured in CDCl<sub>3</sub>; chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) in ppm; coupling constants (*J*) in Hz; assignments determined by a combination of 1D- and 2D- (COSY, HSQC, and HMBC) NMR experiments.

(C(8)); three CH groups, two of which olefinic at  $\delta$ (C) 148.2 (C(14)) and 122.6 (C(11)); four  $CH_2$  and four Me groups, one of them linked to an olefinic C-atom (*Table 1*). A detailed analysis of COSY, HSQC, and HMBC spectra allowed the construction of two partial structures, a 1,4-quinoid moiety and a decalin-type system containing a CO and three angular Me groups. Further, the HMBC spectrum showed correlations between H-C(11) and C(9) and C(8). Additionally, the HMBC for H-C(14) with C(8), C(12), and C(17) supported the quinoid moiety on the C ring of a diterpene sub-structure. Search in the literature for model compounds revealed two examples, including a bisnorditerpene, yunnannin A [16], and the  $8\beta$ -hydroxyabieta-9(11),13-dien-12-one [17]. Unfortunately, either the  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  configuration for the OH group at C(8) present in both examples gave approximately the same chemical shift for that C-atom, thus not allowing any further speculation about the relative configuration at C(8). However, the relative configuration of 1 was determined by a NOESY experiment. Correlations for  $H_{\beta}-C(1)/H_{\beta}-C(5), H_{\alpha}-C(6)/Me(19), \text{ and } Me(19)/Me(20), \text{ as depicted in the Figure,}$ and, particularly, the NOE correlation between  $H-C(11)/CH_2(1)$  supported the configuration inferred for the OH group. Based on the above data, the structure of 1 was established as  $rel (5\beta, 8\alpha, 10\alpha)$ -8-hydroxy-13-methylpodocarpa-9(11),13-diene-3,12-dione, a new bisnorditerpenoid that can be derived biosynthetically from a cleistanthane precursor. Cleistanthane-type diterpenes have been previously isolated from C. moritibensis [18] and C. sonderianus [19], the latter an endemic Brazilian plant widespread in northeastern Brazil.



Figure. Relative configuration and key NOESY correlations for compounds 1 and 2

Compound **2** was isolated as colorless crystals. The IR spectrum exhibited a broad band at 3334 cm<sup>-1</sup> typical for OH groups and bands at 1235 to 1050 cm<sup>-1</sup> characteristic for single C–O bonds. The molecular formula  $C_{15}H_{26}O_3$  was established by HR-ESI-TOF-MS from the *pseudo*-molecular ion at *m/z* 277.1774 ([*M*+Na]<sup>+</sup>, calc. 277.1779). The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR showed three Me groups at  $\delta$ (H) 1.13 (*s*, Me(14)), 1.27 (*s*, Me(13)), and 1.38 (*s*, Me(12)), an O-bearing H–C group at  $\delta$ (H) 4.15 (*t*, *J* = 8.8, H–C(8)), and two diastereotopic H-atoms of a O–CH<sub>2</sub> group at  $\delta$ (H) 3.58 (*dd*, *J* = 10.6, 4.9, H<sub>a</sub>–C(15)) and 3.42 (*dd*, *J* = 10.6, 6.8, H<sub>b</sub>–C(15)). In addition, the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum exhibited a series of signals ascribable to four CH and four CH<sub>2</sub> H-atoms as deduced from the HSQC spectrum (*Table 2*). The <sup>13</sup>C-NMR and DEPT spectra of **2** showed signals for 15 C-atoms: three Me and five CH<sub>2</sub> groups, one of them O-bearing  $\delta$ (C) 66.9 (C(15)), five CH groups, including an O-bearing one  $\delta$ (C) 72.8 (C(8)), and two quaternary C-atoms,

	7			2a		
	$\delta(C)$	$\delta(H)$	HMBC $(H \rightarrow C)$	δ(C)	φ(H)	HMBC $(H \rightarrow C)$
1	55.6	1.69 - 1.71 (m)	C(4)	54.6	$1.69 - 1.71 \ (m)$	
2	28.3	1.37 - 1.39 (m), $1.53 - 1.55$ (m)	C(4), C(5)	27.3	$1.41 - 1.42 \ (m), 1.54 - 1.55 \ (m)$	
3	27.4	1.32 - 1.34 (m), $2.28 - 2.30$ (m)	C(1), C(2), C(15)	26.3	1.41 - 1.42 (m), $1.76 - 1.78$ (m)	
4	43.7	1.71 - 1.73 (m)	C(1), C(6), C(15)	42.7	1.73 - 1.74 (m)	C(5)
5	48.3	$1.62 - 1.64 \ (m)$	C(4)	43.5	1.81 - 1.83 (m)	
6	35.8	1.32 - 1.34 (m), $2.28 - 2.30$ (m)	C(1), C(4), C(5), C(7)	34.1	$2.28 \ (dt, J = 14.1, 6.7, 1.5)$	C(1), C(7)
7	47.8	1.66 (br. $d, J = 5.9$ )	C(6), C(7), C(8), C(9)	47.8	1.73 - 1.74 (m)	C(8)
8	72.8	4.15(t, J=8.8)	C(6), C(7), C(9), C(11)	74.8	5.08 $(t, J = 8.9)$	C(6), C(7), C(10),
						C(11), AcO-C(8)
6	46.0	2.15 (dd, J = 13.7, 9.4),	C(1), C(7), C(8), C(14)	42.1	$2.18 \ (dd, J = 13.8, 9.7),$	C(1), C(10), C(11)
		$1.95 \ (dd, J = 13.7, 8.8)$			$1.94 \ (dd, J = 13.8, 8.4)$	
10	75.0			72.9		
11	77.6	1		75.4	1	
12	32.6	1.38(s)	C(7), C(11)	32.0	1.34(s)	C(7), C(11)
13	29.3	1.27(s)	C(7), C(11)	28.7	1.25(s)	C(7), C(11)
14	27.9	1.13 (s)	C(1), C(9), C(10)	27.7	1.16(s)	C(1), C(9)
15	6.99	3.58 (dd, J = 10.6, 4.9), 3.42 (dd, J = 10.6, 6.8)	C(3), C(4), C(5)	67.8	$4.04 \ (d, J = 4.1)$	C(3), AcO-C(15)
MeCO-C(8)	I		Ι	170.8	1	
MeCO-C(15)	I	I	I	170.2	I	
MeCO-C(8)	I	I	1	21.7	2.05(s)	AcO-C(8)
MeCO-C(15)	I	1	I	21.2	2.04(s)	AcO-C(15)
a) Chemical shif	ts ( $\delta$ ) in F	pm; coupling constants (J) in Hz;	assignments determined by a	combina	tion of 1D- and 2D- (COSY, HSQ	C, and HMBC) N

Table 2.  $^{13}C$ - and  $^{1}H$ - NMR Data<sup>a</sup>) of Compounds  $2^{\rm b}$ ) and  $2a^{\rm c}$ )

378

## Helvetica Chimica Acta – Vol. 93 (2010)

both linked to an O-atom  $\delta(C)$  75.0 (C(10)) and 77.6 (C(11)). An accurate analysis of the <sup>1</sup>H,<sup>1</sup>H-COSY, HSQC, and HMBC spectra showed that **2** is an O-bearing sesquiterpene possessing a guaiane skeleton. The position of the HO–CH<sub>2</sub> and OH groups at C(4) and C(8), respectively, were defined by the HMBC analysis. The key correlations were from CH<sub>2</sub>(15) to C(3), C(4) and C(5), from H–C(4) to C(1), C(6), and C(15), and from H–C(8) to C(6), C(7), C(9), and C(11). The HMBCs from Me(14) to C(1), C(9), and C(10), and from Me(12)/Me(13) to C(7) and C(11) supported the ether bridge between C(10) and C(11). The relative configuration established for the stereogenic centers was deduced by NOESY experiments. The NOE correlations of H–C(1)/H–C(8) and H<sub>a</sub>–C(6)/H–C(8) indicated that HO–C(8) was  $\beta$ -oriented, while the correlation of H–C(1)/CH<sub>2</sub>(15) suggested that the O–CH<sub>2</sub> group was  $\alpha$ -oriented (*Fig.*). The observed correlations between H–C(7)/H–C(8) and H–C(7)/H<sub> $\beta$ </sub>–C(6) supported the configuration inferred for the ether moiety.

Acetylation of compound **2** yielded the diacetyl derivative **2a**, which exhibited in the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum two additional signals at  $\delta(H) 2.04$  (*s*) and 2.05 (*s*) compatible with two Me groups of AcO moieties. In addition, the H-atom signals of H–C(8) ( $\delta(H) 5.08, t, J = 8.9$ ) and of CH<sub>2</sub>(15) ( $\delta(H) 4.04, d, J = 4.1$ ) of **2a** were shifted downfield when compared with those ascribed for **2**. The <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum also showed signals for AcO groups at  $\delta(C)$  170.8/21.7 (AcO–C(8)) and 170.2/21.2 (AcO–C(15)). As expected, the remainder H- and C-atom signals were similar to those of **2** (*Table 2*). Therefore, the structure of **2** was established as *rel-*(1*R*,4*S*,6*R*,7*S*,8*aR*)-decahydro-1-(hydroxymethyl)-4,9,9-trimethyl-4,7-(epoxymethano)azulen-6-ol, a new guaiane sesquiterpene.

This work was supported by the national Brazilian agencies CNPq, CAPES, FUNCAP, and PRONEX. The authors are greatful to Prof. *Edson P. Nunes* for the plant identification and Prof. *Noberto L. Peporine* for the HR mass spectra.

## **Experimental Part**

General. Semiprep. HPLC: LC-10Atvp (SHIMADZU). Column chromatography (CC): silica gel 60 (SiO<sub>2</sub>; 70–230 mesh, Vetec, or 230–400 mesh, Merck). TLC: precoated SiO<sub>2</sub> Al sheets (Kieselgel 60  $F_{254}$ , 0.20 mm, Merck); fractions and pure compounds were monitored by TLC, and the spots were visualized by heating (100°) the SiO<sub>2</sub> plates sprayed with vanillin/perchloric acid/EtOH soln. M.p.: digital Mettler Toledo FP90 apparatus. Optical rotations: Perkin-Elmer 341 digital polarimeter. IR Spectra (KBr): Perkin-Elmer FT-IR 1000 spectrometer. <sup>1</sup>H- (500 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (125 MHz) spectra: Bruker DRX-500 spectrometer. MS System quadrupole time-of-flight instrument, UltrOTOF-Q, Bruker Daltonics, Billerica, equipped with an ESI source.

*Plant Material.* Ground leaves and stalks of *C. regelianus* were collected during the flowering stage, in July 2006, from Viçosa County, State of Ceará, Brazil. The plant material was authenticated by Prof. *Edson P. Nunes* of the Departamento de Biologia, Universidade Federal do Ceará. A voucher specimen (No. 24460) has been deposited with the Herbário Prisco Bezerra (EAC) of the Universidade Federal do Ceará.

*Extraction and Isolation.* The leaves (0.7 kg) and stalks (1.7 kg) of *C. regelianus* were extracted with hexane, followed by EtOH  $(3 \times \text{ each})$  at r.t. The hexane and EtOH solns. were concentrated under reduced pressure to give the respective crude extracts (leaves: 13.7 and 40.0 g; stems: 9.8 and 53.0 g, resp.). The leaf hexane extract (13.7 g) was subjected to SiO<sub>2</sub> CC and eluted with hexane, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, AcOEt, and MeOH. The CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> fraction (7.5 g), after successive gravitational columns over SiO<sub>2</sub> using increasing amounts of hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, yielded triacontanol (49.6 mg; m.p. 81.2 – 82.4°), phytol

(16.9 mg), and sitosterol (143.7 mg; m.p. 121.8-122.6°). The AcOEt fraction (2.7 g) was chromatographed over SiO<sub>2</sub>, using a step-wise gradient of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/AcOEt. The CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/AcOEt 6:4 fraction (610 mg) was rechromatographed employing a solvent system of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/AcOEt (8:2 to 2:8), followed by AcOEt. The compound (3R,4R,6S)-3,6-dihydroxy-1-menthene  $(47.8 \text{ mg}; \text{ m.p. } 166.0-168.0^{\circ})$  was isolated from the CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/AcOEt 6:4 fraction. The leaf EtOH extract (40.0 g) was dissolved in MeOH/ H<sub>2</sub>O 7:3 and partitioned with hexane, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, and AcOEt. The CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-soluble fraction (10.2 g), after repeated CC on SiO<sub>2</sub>, yielded sitosterol glucoside (17 mg; m.p. 293.0-294.1°). The hexane extract from the stems (9.8 g) was fractionated over SiO2 eluting with hexane/CH2Cl2 8:2, CH2Cl2, AcOEt, and AcOEt/MeOH 1:1. The AcOEt fraction (4.4 g) was subjected to further CC using a binary mixture of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/AcOEt. The CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/AcOEt 8:2 fraction (1.5 g) was rechromatographed using PrOH (1%) in hexane to afford 53 fractions of 8 ml each, which were analyzed by TLC resulting in 12 sub-fractions. The sub-fraction 6-8 (362.3 mg) was subjected to fractionation using semiprep. HPLC (Supercosil 250 × 10 mm; UV detector; hexane/AcOEt 75:25; flow rate 2 ml/min) to give the steroidal mixture of  $\beta$ hydroxycampest-4-en-3-one and  $6\beta$ -hydroxystigmast-4-en-3-one (6.9 mg). The sub-fraction 14-20(218.5 mg) was also subjected to semiprep. HPLC using hexane/AcOEt (92:8) to give compound 1 (6.8 mg). The EtOH extract from the stems (53.0 g) was dissolved in MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 7:3 and partitioned with  $CH_2Cl_2$  and AcOEt. The  $CH_2Cl_2$ -soluble fraction (18.1 g) was subjected to CC over SiO<sub>2</sub> and eluted with hexane/AcOEt (8:2; 6:4; 4:6; 2:8), AcOEt, AcOEt/MeOH 8:2, and MeOH. The fractions 4:6 and 2:8, after TLC analysis, were combined (2.1 g) and subjected to flash CC using hexane/AcOEt 4:6 as eluent to yield 75 fractions of 8 ml. The fraction 32-63 (750 mg) was rechromatograped through flash CC and eluted with  $CH_2Cl_2/ACOEt$  6:4 to give 99 sub-fractions of 8 ml. From the sub-fraction 13-20 (72 mg), colorless crystals formed, which were filtered and washed with hexane/AcOEt 1:1 to give cleomiscosine A (15 mg; m.p. 246.0-247°). Flash CC of sub-fraction 36-47 (71 mg), using CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/ AcOEt 1:1, yielded compound 2 (12.2 mg).

rel- $(5\beta,8\alpha,10\alpha)$ -8-Hydroxy-13-methylpodocarpa-9(11),13-diene-3,12-dione (1). Yellowish resin.  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +49 \ (c = 0.1, \text{ CHCl}_3)$ . IR (KBr): 3412, 2932, 2867, 1727, 1710, 1638, 1460, 1372. <sup>1</sup>H- (500 MHz, CDCl\_3) and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\_3): *Table 1*. HR-ESI-TOF: 289.1798 ( $[M + H]^+$ ,  $C_{18}H_{26}O_3^+$ ; calc. 289.1803).

rel-(*1*R,4S,6R,7S,8aR)-*Decahydro-1-(hydroxymethyl)-4,9,9-trimethyl-4,7-(epoxymethano)azulen-6*ol (2). Colorless crystals. M.p. 90–91°.  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +37$  (c = 0.05, MeOH). IR (KBr): 3334, 2918, 2860, 1450, 1374, 1235, 1050. <sup>1</sup>H- (500 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (125 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): *Table 2.* HR-ESI-TOF: 277.1774 ( $[M + Na]^+$ , C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NaO<sup>+</sup><sub>3</sub>; calc. 277.1779).

Acetylation of Compound **2**. To a soln. of compound **2** (6.0 mg) in pyridine (0.5 ml) was added Ac<sub>2</sub>O (1 ml). The mixture was stirred overnight at r.t. for 12 h and after usual workup afforded compound **2a** (6.6 mg): colorless crystals. M.p.  $79-80^{\circ}$ .  $[\alpha]_{20}^{20} = +52$  (c = 0.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR (KBr): 2939, 2871, 1738, 1454, 1367, 1243, 1125, 1091, 1028. <sup>1</sup>H- (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): *Table 2*.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Salatino, M. L. F. Salatino, G. Negri, J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 2007, 18, 11.
- [2] R. J. B. Siqueira, J. H. Leal-Cardoso, R. Couture, S. Lahlou, Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol. 2006, 33, 238.
- [3] M. Sylvestre, A. Pichette, A. Longtin, F. Nagau, J. Legault, J. Ethnopharmacol. 2006, 103, 99.
- [4] P. T. H. Minh, P. H. Ngoc, W. C. Taylor, N. M. Cuong, Fitoterapia 2004, 75, 552.
- [5] S. DalBó, S. Jürgensen, H. Horst, D. N. Soethe, A. R. S. Santos, M. G. Pizzolatti, R. M. Ribeiro-do-Vale, *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2006, 85, 317.
- [6] M. O. Moraes, M. C. Fonteles, M. E. A. Moraes, M. I. L. Machado, F. J. A. Matos, *Fitoterapia* 1997, 68, 235.
- [7] F. J. A. Matos, 'Plantas da Medicina Popular do Nordeste: Propriedades Atribuídas e Confirmadas', Universidade Federal do Ceará, Fortaleza, 1999.
- [8] M. C. M. Torres, J. C. Assunção, G. M. P. Santiago, A. Andrade-Neto, E. R. Silveira, L. V. Costa-Lotufo, D. P. Bezerra, J. D. B. Marinho Filho, F. A. Viana, O. P. L. Pessoa, *Chem. Biodiversity* 2008, 5, 2724.

380

- [9] D. P. Bezerra, J. D. B. Marinho Filho, M. C. M. Torres, A. P. N. N. Alves, C. Pessoa, M. O. De Moraes, O. D. L. Pessoa, E. R. Silveira, F. A. Viana, L. V. Costa-Lotufo, *Chem. Biodiversity* 2009, 6, 1224.
- [10] S. Chacko, M. G. Sethuraman, V. George, P. Pushpangadan, J. Med. Aromat. Plant Sci. 2002, 24, 703.
- [11] Atta-Ur-Rahman, V. U. Ahmad, '<sup>13</sup>C-NMR of Natural Products Diterpenes', Vol. 2, Plenum Press, New York, 1992.
- [12] H. Kojima, N. Sato, A. Hatano, H. Ogura, Phytochemistry 1990, 29, 2351.
- [13] X.-Q. Li, K. Gao, Z.-J. Jia, Planta Med. 2003, 69, 356.
- [14] M. Della Greca, P. Monaco, L. Previtera, J. Nat. Prod. 1990, 53, 1430.
- [15] A. B. Ray, S. K. Chattopadhyay, S. Kumar, C. Konno, Y. Kiso, H. Hikino, Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 209.
- [16] G. Xu, L.-Y. Peng, L. Lu, Z.-Y. Weng, Y. Zhao, X.-L. Li, Q.-S. Zhao, H.-D. Sun, *Planta Med.* 2006, 72, 84.
- [17] M. Politi, A. Braça, N. De Tommasi, I. Morelli, A. Manunta, L. Battinelli, G. Mazzanti, *Planta Med.* 2003, 69, 468.
- [18] V. T. de Araújo-Júnior, M. S. da Silva, E. V. L. da-Cunha, M. de Fátima Agra, R. N. da Silva-Filho, J. M. Barbosa-Filho, R. Braz-Filho, *Pharm. Biol.* **2004**, 42, 62.
- [19] A. A. Craveiro, E. R. Silveira, Phytochemistry 1982, 21, 2571.

Received May 28, 2009